

Exhibit 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; 17-cv-00939-WHA

OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO

TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

****ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY****

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TRAVIS KALANICK

San Francisco, California

Thursday, July 27, 2017

Volume I

REPORTED BY:

REBECCA L. ROMANO, RPR, CSR No. 12546

JOB NO. 2665725

PAGES 1 - 329

Page 1

1 discs contained Google files? 08:30:10

2 A. He indicated that they had some kind of
3 content from his previous employer.

4 Q. Okay. He didn't say they have some kind
5 of content, did he? 08:30:20

6 A. I don't know what his specific words
7 were.

8 Q. Okay. And did you say anything in
9 response to that?

10 A. I did. 08:30:30

11 Q. What did you say?

12 A. I said that he -- we -- that we, as a
13 whole, need to make sure that that content does not
14 make it to Uber, and that he needs to talk to
15 attorneys to figure out how to make sure that's 08:30:43
16 done properly.

17 Q. But you don't remember at this meeting
18 asking him what is it that's on the files?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You don't remember asking him about any 08:31:11
21 of the circumstances surrounding the files?

22 A. No, I do not. I just wanted to make sure
23 that files from his previous employer or anywhere
24 else were not making it to Uber.

25 Q. Did anyone -- who else was at the 08:31:27